

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
NASHVILLE DIVISION**

**JERALD WIGGINS,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT OF  
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON COUNTY,  
TENNESSEE, et al.,**

**Defendants.**

)  
)  
)  
)  
) **NO. 3:14-cv-01950**  
) **CHIEF JUDGE CRENSHAW**  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**ORDER**


For the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion:

1. Wiggins' Objections (Doc. No. 101) are **SUSTAINED IN PART AND OVERRULED IN PART.**
2. The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 99) is **ADOPTED.**
3. Norris' Partial Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 59) is **GRANTED.**
4. Crofts' Partial Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 68) is **GRANTED.**<sup>1</sup>
5. Brewer, Hampton, and Dunaway's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 71) is **GRANTED.** The Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the claim under Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-7-121.
6. Metro's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 75) is **GRANTED.** The Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the claim under Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-7-121.
7. McNamara's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 79) is **GRANTED.** The Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the claim under Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-7-121.

---

<sup>1</sup> Crofts' request to dismiss claims not directed at him is denied as moot.

8. Defendants Brewer, Hampton, Dunaway, McNamara, and Metro are **TERMINATED** from this action.
9. Plaintiff has the following remaining claims:
- a. § 1983 claims against Crotts and Norris;
  - b. state law assault and battery claim against Crotts and Norris; and
  - c. Tenn. Code Ann. § 40-7-121 claim against Crotts and Norris.
10. This case is **RETURNED** to the Magistrate Judge for further case management.
- IT IS SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WAVERLY D. CRENSHAW, JR.  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE